## Office of the Director, Centre for Internal Quality Assurance (CIQA) Krishna Kanta Handiqui State Open University City Office, Housefed Complex, Guwahati- 06

#### **Report on Feedback Survey (Academic Staff)**

Survey on Some Aspects of Job and Job Satisfaction among the Academic Staff of KKHSOU

#### 1. Introduction:

The survey instrument was developed in two parts as follows:

#### **<u>Part I:</u>** a) Workplace conditions and participation in decision making

(15 statements were administered to extract response on extent of occurrence in terms of a four point scale from always to never with space for additional comments)

#### b) Job Satisfaction and Infrastructure

(11 statements were administered to extract response on extent of occurrence in terms of a four point scale from always to never with space for additional comments)

c) Job Satisfaction and Professional Development

(15 statements were administered to extract response on extent of agreeability in terms of a 5 point scale, the middle point being neutral with space for additional comments)

**Part II:** The instrument tried to elicit response regarding importance of the following in a scale of 1-10 in respect of job satisfaction: one for minimum and ten for maximum importance.

- a) Workplace condition
- b) Salary, benefits and perquisites
- c) Infrastructure
- d) Professional Development
- e) Others (to be specified)

#### 2. The Response Rate:

a) The survey instrument in the form of a questionnaire was administered on 14<sup>th</sup> November, 2018 to 33 nos of academic staff of KKHSOU, as 2 nos of academic staff were absent for various personal reasons and other professional commitments like attending OP/RC in some other universities.

- b) The respondents dropped the filled in questionnaire in the Drop Boxes (one in Head Office and the other in the City Office) on or before 20<sup>th</sup> November, 2018. The drop boxes were opened in front of three officers/faculty members of KKHSOU and were serialized with their initials.
- c) 29 nos of responses were received out of 33nos, the response rate being 87.88% which may be considered very good. As shown in the Data Sheet, in some items 1-3 responses were missing which is highly insignificant in terms of capturing the overall perception on various aspects of job and job satisfaction.

#### 3. The important findings and possible scope of intervention are indicated below:

- 3.1: Workplace Conditions and participation in decision making:
  - 3.1.1: Access to KKHSOU management is good and easy (62.07% always). However, the management of the university needs to be more supportive and encouraging. (37.93% feels always and 37.93% feel sometimes)
  - 3.1.2: The encouragement of new ideas at all levels is welcome. (41.38% always) However suggestions of faculty members are not always encouraged and considered (as 20.69% only feels always, while 44.83% feels occasionally).
  - 3.1.3: There is a strong feeling that the teaching faculty is not consulted in decision making in related areas of their functioning (17.24% only in always column).
  - 3.1.4: Faculty cohesion and friendliness is a strong area (Item No x to xii).
  - 3.1.5: The additional comments shown in the Data Sheet may be indicative, though not explicit.
- 3.2: Job Satisfaction and Infrastructure:
  - a) Perception is relatively strong as regards provisioning of good supply of drinking water (72.41% always), internet facilities (64.29%), toilet facilities, canteen facilities etc.
  - b) Significant scope for improvement is there as regards provisioning seminar room/ conference hall facility, supply of materials, IT support in sufficient and timely manner, provisioning of reference books etc.
- 3.3: Job Satisfaction and Professional Development:
  - 3.3.1: Satisfaction with the academic profession is a strong area (76% approx), though personal and professional growth on current job is not considered satisfactory by a majority (34% only agreeable)
  - 3.3.2: The possible gaps in the reimbursement of expenses for academic pursuits Need to be closed as there is some scope for disagreement (13.79%).
  - 3.3.3: That the salary and incentives are attractive enough is a perceived strength (79% agreeability)

- 3.3.4: That the perquisites are also sufficient is another important perceived strength (80.67% agreeability).
- 3.3.5: Encouragement to faculty (as stated in 3.1.1, 3.1.2 and 3.1.3) need to be considered for possible reinforcement in areas of overall perception of encouragement by University management. A majority of the respondents (more than 65%) feels that the university encourages to organize seminars, workshops, academic pursuits, foreign trips, social activities etc.
- 3.3.6: Despite the University encouragement to the work (as reflected in item viii to xiv), there is a grey area regarding perception of society acknowledgement (item no xiv)
- 3.3.7: The additional comments are indicative, though not explicit for the other responses.
- 3.3.8: Two factors namely i) Salary, benefits and perquisites and ii) Professional development are the most important factor for KKHSOU faculty members (9 each out of 10), while there are mixed responses to workplace conditions and infrastructure in terms of priority.

#### <u>Centre for Internal Quality Assurance (CIQA)</u> <u>Krishna Kanta Handiqui State Open University</u> <u>City Office, Housefed Complex, Guwahati- 06</u>

#### <u>A SURVEY ON SOME ASPECTS OF JOB AND JOB SATISFACTION</u> <u>AMONG THE ACADEMIC STAFF OF KKHSOU</u>

#### <u>Part-I</u>

#### 1. Workplace Conditions and Participation in Decision Making

Sl.	Statements	Always	%	Sometimes	%	Occasio-	%	Neve	%
No.						nally		r	
i.	The management of this University is supportive and encouraging	11	37.93	11	37.93	7	24.14	0	0
ii.	Access to management is good and easy	18	62.07	4	13.79	6	20.69	1	3.45
iii.	Thereishealthyrelationshipbetweenmanagementand faculty	9	31.03	13	44.83	5	17.24	2	6.90
iv.	Administrative policies of this University are transparent	5	17.24	13	44.83	4	13.79	7	24.14
v.	There is delegation and decentralization of work	7	24.14	14	48.28	8	27.59	0	0
vi.	My seniors encourage new ideas at all levels	12	41.38	12	41.38	3	10.34	2	6.90
vii.	Suggestionsoffacultymembersareencouragedandconsidered	6	20.69	10	34.48	13	44.83	0	0
viii.	The teaching faculty is consulted in decision making in related areas of their functioning	5	17.24	9	31.03	11	37.93	4	13.79
ix.	Working conditions are pleasant	4	13.79	20	68.96	3	10.34	2	6.90
X.	I am friendly with my colleagues	24	82.76	3	10.34	2	6.90	0	0
xi.	Thereisgoodunderstandingamongthe faculty members	15	51.72	10	34.48	4	13.79	0	0
xii.	Cooperation and	17	58.62	8	27.59	4	13.79	0	0

					- <u>r</u>				,			
	Coordination among											
	teaching and non-											
	teaching staff are good											
xiii.	I am satisfied with the	13	44.83	10	34.48	4	13.79	1	3.45			
	introduction of the											
	Group Mediclaim Policy											
	of the University											
xiv.	I feel secure in my job	1	3.45	11	37.93	10	34.48	7	24.14			
	for existence of					-						
	established procedures											
	governing my service											
	conditions											
XV.	I am happy with the	2	6.90	15	51.72	8	27.59	4	13.79			
	university											
	•	i)	Need more sp	bace and free	dom							
Addi	tional comments											
		ix)										
		xi)	Too much inv	volvement of	faculty in ot	her institution	onal activit	ies				
		xiii)										
		xiv)										
					al attention r	egarding lea	ave rules an	d all of	her rules			
		sfied with the m of the diclaim Policy versity1344.831034.48413.791Image: Image of the diclaim Policy versity13.451137.931034.487Image of the distance of distance of distance of my service13.451137.931034.487Image of the procedures my service26.901551.72827.594Image of the les of my26.901551.72827.594Image of the les of my1Need more space and freedom ii)Too much pressure can degrade the working quality iii)EL sanctioned without prior information and justification iv)Participatory form of decision making may be initiated v)Leave rules must be more specific vi)Management is encouraging and supportive vii)Decisions regarding academics are not transparent viii)5 year term of the university does not grant job security ix)Required summer & winter break x)Medical Leave required xi)Too much involvement of faculty in other institutional activities xii)During holidays there should not be any university function xiii)Functions should be during working hours only xiv)Huge discrimination among the staff of KKHSOU										

# 2. Job Satisfaction and Infrastructure

Sl. No.	Statement	Always	%	Sometim es	%	Occasio nally	%	Never	%
i.	There is good supply of drinking water in my workplace	21	72.41	6	20.69	1	3.45	1	3.45
ii.	Toilet facilities in my workplace are good	16	55.17	8	27.59	5	17.24	0	0
iii.	Canteen facilities are available ** 27 nos	14	51.85	4	23.53	0	0	9	33.33
iv.	Staff parking facilities are available** 27 nos	12	44.44	6	22.22	5	18.52	4	14.81
v.	Room of faculty members are sufficiently furnished and comfortable	7	24.14	10	34.48	7	24.14	5	17.24
vi.	Seminar and conference hall are	1	3.57	9	32.14	11	39.29	7	25

	adequate**28 nos								
vii.	Library is sufficiently equipped	7	24.14	13	44.83	6	20.69	3	10.34
viii.	Multimedia facilities are adequate	7	24.14	9	31.03	9	31.03	4	13.79
ix.	Supply of materials for IT support are sufficient and timely	9	31.03	14	48.28	5	17.24	1	3.45
х.	Internet facilities is sufficient and well connected **28 nos	18	64.29	10	35.71	0	0	0	0
xi.	Reference books are usually available in the library	8	27.59	`16	55.17	5	17.24	0	0
Additi	onal comments	<ul> <li>i)</li> <li>ii)</li> <li>iii)</li> <li>iv)</li> <li>v)</li> <li>v)</li> <li>vi)</li> <li>vii)</li> <li>viii)</li> <li>ix)</li> <li>x)</li> <li>xi)</li> <li>xii)</li> <li>xiii)</li> </ul>	Administrat Department Library nee Current offi Library sho Need more Need better Need anti-p Workshop o Infrastructu Should not	tive aspects al library is ds additiona ice set up of uld also kee conference sitting and lagiarism sc on relevant t	I academic be does not allo p a stock of a and seminar r toilet facilities oftware and lo opic e up to the uni parassment	arded as sa poks, acces w us to en ll the previous s gin user ic	ss to top rat gage in thir ious year S l for each fa	iking pro LMs year	cess r-wise

# **3. Job Satisfaction and Professional Development**

Sl. No.	Statement	Stron gly Agree	%	Agree	%	Neither Agree nor Disagre e	%	Disa gree	%	Stro ngly Disa gree	%
i.	I am satisfied with my academic profession	4	13.79	18	62.07	5	17.24	2	6.90	0	0
ii.	Personal growth on current job is satisfactory	1	3.45	9	31.03	8	27.59	8	27.59	3	10.34
iii.	I am happy with my professional growth	2	6.90	8	27.59	9	31.03	8	27.59	2	6.90
iv.	Reimbursemen t expenses for academic pursuits are made timely	5	17.24	14	48.28	4	13.79	4	13.79	2	6.90
v.	Our	2	6.90	13	44.83	9	31.03	5	17.24	0	0

organization conducts extensive training programs for its employees in all aspects of qualityaaaaaavi.Salary and incentives are attractive enough to retain the academic staff.31.031448.28620.69000vii.The perquisites like PF, HR1139.291241.38310.71002	0
extensive training programs for its employees in all aspects of qualityand spects of quality<	
training programs for its employees in all aspects of qualityand 931.031448.28620.69000vi.Salary incentives are attractive enough to retain the academic staff.931.031448.28620.69000vi.Salary incentives are attractive enough to retain the academic staff.1139.291241.38310.71002	
programs for its employees in all aspects of qualityand 931.031448.28620.69000vi.Salary and incentives are attractive enough to retain the academic staff.931.031448.28620.69000vii.The perquisites like1139.291241.38310.71002	
programs for its employees in all aspects of quality31.031448.28620.69000vi.Salary and incentives are attractive enough to retain the academic staff.931.031448.28620.69000viii.The perquisites like1139.291241.38310.71002	
itsemployees in all aspects of qualityand of quality31.031448.28620.69000vi.Salary incentives are attractive enough retain the academic staff.931.031448.28620.69000vi.Salary incentives are attractive enough to retain the academic staff.1139.291241.38310.71002	
in all aspects of qualityin all aspects <b< td=""><td></td></b<>	
of qualityof quality<	
vi.Salary and incentives are attractive enough to retain the academic staff.931.031448.28620.69000vii.The perquisites like1139.291241.38310.71002	
incentives are attractive enough to retain the academic staff.incentives are attractive enough to retain the academic staff.incentive academic staff.vii.The perquisites like1139.291241.38310.71002	
attractive enough to retain the academic staff.attractive enough to retain the academic staff.attractive enough to retain the academic staff.attractive enough to enough to retain the academic staff.vii.The perquisites like1139.291241.38310.71002	7.14
enough to retain the academic staff.Image: constraint of the academic staff.Image: constraint of the staff.Image: constraint of the staff.Image: constraint of the staff.vii.The perquisites like1139.291241.38310.71002	7.14
retain academic staff.retain academic staff.retain academic staff.retain academic staff.vii.The perquisites like1139.291241.38310.71002	7.14
academic staff.         Image: constraint of the staff.         Image: constrated of the staff.         Image: constaff.	7.14
vii.         The perquisites like         11         39.29         12         41.38         3         10.71         0         0         2	7.14
perquisites like	7.14
PF, HR	
(House Rent)	
etc. are	
sufficient to	
me as per my	
role**28 nos	
viii.         My University         5         17.24         15         51.72         5         17.24         3         10.34         1	3.45
encourages me	5.15
to attend	
continuous	
professional	
development	
programmes 10.00 110.00 110.00 10.00	2.45
ix. My university 9 31.03 14 48.28 4 13.79 1 3.45 1	3.45
encourages me	
to undertake	
external	
activities and	
serve as	
resource	
person	
elsewhere.	
x. My University 15 51.72 10 34.48 2 6.90 2 6.90 0	0
encourages me	-
to organize	
seminar,	
workshop,	
academic	
programme etc	
	0
	U
supports me in	
working	
articles/papers	
etc. for	
publication	
purpose.	

xii.	My University encourages me for applying minor and major research projects	7	24.14	12	41.40	9	31.03	1	3.45	0	0
xiii.	My University assists me for foreign trips for conferences, projects, seminars and training etc.	4	13.79	12	41.40	10	34.48	2	6.90	1	3.45
xiv.	The society acknowledges and values my work	2	6.90	13	44.83	10	34.48	2	6.90	1	3.45
XV.	My University encourages me to involve in social activities	9	31.03	18	62.07	1	3.45	1	3.45	0	0
Add comr	itional nents	<ul> <li>i)</li> <li>ii)</li> <li>iii)</li> <li>iv)</li> <li>v)</li> <li>v)</li> <li>vi)</li> <li>vii)</li> <li>xi)</li> <li>xii)</li> <li>xii)</li> <li>xiv)</li> </ul>	Holiday Universi Proper Over by Persona has not The five Avenue No prov Not enco Only fe Manage Heavy	urden of w al growth a been satisf e year term es to engag vision of A ough suppo w faculty r ement is ap workload rity should	in numbers nee is warr s needed or ork hinder nd academ factory n doesn't se e in acader cademic L ort is given nembers' oprehensive	n` academic academic tic progres eem to be t nic pursuit eave for OP, R work is hig e to spend		ent work er factor ncourag member	nvironmer ing rs' foreign	ı trips	

### <u>Part-II</u>

### 4. Perceived value Rating Scale

State the importance of the following factors in a scale of 1-10 in respect of your job satisfaction

Rating in scale of 10											
Sl. No.	Factor	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Workplace Conditions	1		1	4	4	4		6	4	5
	Salary, Benefits and Perquisites						2	6	9	3	9
	Infrastructure			1	3	3	4	5	4	5	4
	Professional Development	1	1		3	4	2	2	4	2	9
	Others (Please specify)				1	1		1			2
c) d)	Extra Co-curricular activities Communication between employees Motivation towards work Consulting the general body of faculty members while making important policy decisions relating to the academic sphere Work Ethics Personal Development										

\*\*\*\*